

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/615,838	07/10/2003	Isao Sendo	030784	8880
38834 7	590 08/16/2006		EXAMINER	
	N, HATTORI, DAN CTICUT AVENUE, NV	TAPOLCAI, WILLIAM E		
SUITE 700	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20036		3744	

DATE MAILED: 08/16/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

/	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	10/615,838	SENDO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	William E. Tapolcai	3744				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Ju	lv 2006.					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan		rosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-5</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-5</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement					
Application Papers	olosion roquiroment.					
•						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	-					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the c		, ,				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) \square The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summal Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/615,838

Art Unit: 3744

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 2

- 2. Claims 1 and 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eybergen. Eybergen discloses the claimed structure, including the center disk of the power element 14 which supports the diaphragm 20 and clearly limits the travel of the diaphragm when the disk abuts the inner wall 18 of the housing of the power element. Thus, the operation of the claimed invention is considered to be the same as the valve disclosed in Eybergen, except for the limitation of the maximum value of the vavle lift not exceeding 1.4 times a flow rate corresponding to a tonnage set as a capacity which can pass a maximum flow rate. Since the structure and operation of the valve of Eybergen is considered to be the same as the claimed structure of Applicant's invention, the setting of the maximum flow rate is considered to be a matter of obvious design choice to one of ordinary skill in the expansion valve art. It is believed that one of ordinary skill in the expansion valve art would be able to arrive at the claimed maximum value of the valve lift without undue experimentation, using only the structure of the valve in Eybergen and without Applicant's disclosure.
- 3. Applicant's arguments filed July 24, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's main argument against the rejection seems to be that the claimed limitation of the maximum value of the valve lift is not disclosed in Eybergen. However, the claimed structure of Applicant's valve is disclosed in Eybergen, including

Application/Control Number: 10/615,838

Art Unit: 3744

the limitation of the center disk of the power element 14 abutting the inner wall 18 of the power element to limit the travel of the diaphragm 20. This is clearly seen in Figures 1-3, where the center disk can move only so far before it abuts the inner wall 18. Thus, the travel of the center disk limits the movement of the diaphragm 20. Since Applicant appears to be basing his limitation of the maximum value of the valve lift on this structure, it stands to reason that the maximum value of the valve lift is inherently disclosed in the operation of the valve of Eybergen. Therefor, one of ordinary skill in the valve art would be able to arrive at the claimed maximum value of the valve lift in Eybergen without undue experimentation, using only Eybergen's disclosure.

Page 3

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William E. Tapolcai whose telephone number is (571) 272-4814. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Thurs., 6:30 to 5.

Art Unit: 3744

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl J. Tyler can be reached on (571) 272-4834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

William E.Tapolcai Primary Examiner Art Unit 3744

wet August 7, 2006